

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,192	06/30/2003	Jason S. Katcha	GEMS8081.173	1191
27061	7590 12/01/2004		EXAM	INER
ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC (GEMS)			HO, ALLEN C	
MEQUON,	TH CEDARBURG ROAD WI 53097		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2882	
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	10/604,192	KATCHA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allen C. Ho	2882	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON1 cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	ıne 2003.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.	,		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-4,8-14,18,19 and 21-24 is/are reject	ted.		
7) Claim(s) <u>5-7,15-17 and 20</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	ŧ	
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2003 is/are: a)		ted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been in I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>062003</u>, <u>072003</u>. 	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) I/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Paragraph [0025], line 15, "14" should be replaced by --17--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, an inverter that includes a transformer which is connected to the at least one resonant circuit and the slip ring as claimed in claim 19 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, a power generator as claimed in claim 22 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure

must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 3-7 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 3-7 recite the 4. limitation "the at least one resonant circuit". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for 6. failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19 recites the inverter includes a transformer. The specification discloses an inverter that comprises switches, but not transformer.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,192 Page 4

Art Unit: 2882

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1-4, 8-10, 18, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated

by Steigerwald et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,608,771).

With regard to claim 1, Steigerwald et al. disclosed an x-ray generator for a CT scanner,

the generator comprising: a slip ring (50) to transfer power to a rotating high voltage (HV) tank

(60); a rotatable x-ray tube (62) operationally connected to the slip ring to receive power from

the HV tank and project x-rays toward a subject to be scanned; and a stationary inverter (56) to

provide AC power to the slip ring to transference to the HV tank (column 1, lines 42-57).

With regard to claim 2, Steigerwald et al. disclosed the x-ray generator of claim 1,

wherein the stationary inverter includes a number of power switches (O1-O4) arranged in an H-

bridge configuration, the configuration having a pair of outputs such that at least one outputis

connected to a resonant circuit (58).

With regard to claim 3, Steigerwald et al. disclosed the x-ray generator of claim 2,

wherein the resonant circuit is connected to the slip ring.

With regard to claim 4, Steigerwald et al. disclosed the x-ray generator of claim 3,

wherein the resonant circuit includes a capacitor (C_s) and an inductor (L_r) connected in series.

Art Unit: 2882

With regard to claim 8, Steigerwald et al. disclosed a CT imager comprising: a rotatable gantry having an imaging bore disposed therethrough, and a stationary base supporting the gantry; a slip ring (50) disposed in the rotatable gantry and electrically connected to an x-ray tube (62) and an HV tank (60); and a power conditioner (56) external to the gantry to receive a DC voltage and generate an AC voltage waveform that is applied to the HV tank through the slip ring.

With regard to claim 9, Steigerwald et al. disclosed the CT imager of claim 8, further comprising a power distribution unit (55, 56) disposed in the stationary base and where the power conditioner is disposed in the PDU.

With regard to claim 10, Steigerwald et al. disclosed the CT imager of claim 8, wherein the power conditioner is positioned in the stationary base.

With regard to claims 18, 21, and 24, Steigerwald et al. disclosed a CT scanner comprising: a rotatable x-ray tube (62) and a rotatable HV tank (60); a slip ring (50) to transfer current to the HV tank; a stationary base having an inverter (56) to supply AC power to the slip ring for transference to the HV tank; and the inverter having at least one resonant circuit (58) connected to the slip ring.

With regard to claim 22, Steigerwald et al. disclosed the CT scanner of claim 18, having a power generator, which provides the 3 Φ AC input.

With regard to claim 23, Steigerwald et al. disclosed the CT scanner of claim 18, further comprising a power distribution unit (55, 56) having the inverter disposed therein.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,192 Page 6

Art Unit: 2882

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steigerwald et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,608,771) as applied to claim 8 above.

With regard to claim 11, Steigerwald *et al.* disclosed the CT imager of claim 8, wherein the power conditioner includes an inverter. However, Steigerwald *et al.* failed to teach that the inverter in configured to supply an approximate 20k - 1M Hz AC waveform to the slip ring.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the inverter to supply an approximate 20k - 1M Hz AC waveform to the slip ring, since a person would be motivated to transform an AC voltage waveform into an appropriate voltage in the secondary winding.

With regard to claim 12, Steigerwald *et al.* disclosed the CT imager of claim 11, wherein the HV tank is configured to receive the AC waveform from the slip ring and transform the AC waveform to generate up to approximately 160 kV therefrom (electrons must be accelerated to voltage in the kV range to produce x-rays).

With regard to claim 13, Steigerwald *et al.* disclosed the CT imager of claim 11, further comprising at least one series resonant circuit (58) placed in line between an inverter output and the slip ring.

Art Unit: 2882

With regard to claim 14, Steigerwald *et al.* disclosed the CT imager of claim 13, wherein the at least one resonant circuit is configured to limit frequency content of current and voltage waveforms on the slip ring (a resonant circuit functions as a band-pass filter).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-7, 15-17, and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - (1) Harada et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,674,836 B2) disclosed an x-ray CT apparatus.
 - (2) Tanaka (U. S. Patent No. 4,995,069) disclosed an x-ray tube apparatus.
 - (3) Yamada et al. (U. S. Patent No. 4,969,171) disclosed a CAT scanner.
 - (4) Beer (U. S. Patent No. 4,912,735) disclosed a power transfer apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen C. Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-2491. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,192

Art Unit: 2882

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward J. Glick can be reached at (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allen C Ho

Page 8

Allen C. Ho
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2882

26 November 2004